

WEEKLY REPORT – WASTEWATER-BASED EPIDEMIOLOGICAL SURVEILLANCE OF THE SARS-COV-2

— RESULTS OF 20/10/2021

Authors: R. Janssens¹, H. Maloux¹, S. Hanoteaux¹, B. Verhaegen², K. Van Hoorde², K. Dierick², S. Quoilin¹, M. Lesenfans^{1*}

¹ Sciensano, Service Epidemiology of infectious diseases

² Sciensano, Service Foodborne pathogens

*Project responsible and contact: marie.lesenfans@sciensano.be

In collaboration with:



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	2
1. Summary	3
2. Introduction	4
3. Methodology	4
3.1. SAMPLE COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS	4
3.2. WASTEWATER RESULTS	5
3.3. ALERTING INDICATORS	5
3.4. CASES RESULTS.....	6
3.5. CAUTION POINTS FOR THE RESULTS INTERPRETATION.....	6
4. Results	6
4.1. REGIONS.....	6
4.2. PROVINCES	9
4.3. CATCHMENT AREAS.....	10
5. Appendix – Areas classified by alerting indicator	13

1. Summary

The circulation of the SARS-CoV-2 virus in the environment is assessed in the present work based on three indicators. The analysis of wastewater samples collected in 42 wastewater treatment plants covers 45% of the Belgian population, with increased accuracy in the urban areas. The results of the wastewater surveillance is a source of complementary information to the infection cases number as the populations represented are different. Indeed, the wastewater results do notably include all asymptomatic persons, and are independent of the testing strategy.

Here are the conclusions based on the latest results of October 20th 2021:

- At the national level: The last results evidence an alerting situation as a the number of covered areas for which the indicators “Increasing trend” and “Fast increase” quickly increased last week. This situation is similar to the one observed in wastewaters during the peak of the 3rd wave.
- At the provincial level: Antwerpen, Brabant Wallon, Brussels, Hainaut, Liège, Limburg, Luxembourg, Namur, Vlaams-Brabant, and West-Vlaanderen are the provinces positive to at least one of the three alerting indicators. Also, the provinces of Brabant Wallon, Liege, Limburg, and Luxembourg are of particular concern as the conditions for respectively 2 indicators are met in these provinces.
- At the covered areas level: Amongst the 41 areas covered¹, the number of treatment plants with at least one alerting indicator positive is 36, 4 belonging to the “High circulation” indicator, 16 belonging to the “Fast increase” indicator, and 35 belonging to the “Increasing trend” indicator. Last week (results of October 13th 2021), 25 areas had at least one alerting indicator positive.
- Attention point for the covered areas of Amay, Hasselt, Houthalen-Centrum, and Liège Oupeye, where all three indicators are fulfilled.

The wastewater situation can be followed on a weekly basis on:

- The graphics available on the public [COVID-19 dashboard](#)
- The Risk Assessment Group (RAG) updating the weekly epidemiological situation through a report validated by the Risk Management Group (RMG) and published every Friday in [French](#) and [Dutch](#).
- Further details on the methodology applied for the wastewater surveillance can be found in [the Appendix Methodology document \(access online\)](#).

¹ Due to the recent flooding events, the treatment plants from Wegnez (Verviers) and Grosses-Battes (Liège) are temporarily out of order. These two areas had therefore not been screened for SARS-CoV-2. Fortunately, Liège is still well represented within this surveillance, however Verviers is not. Since the 13th of October, the covered area of Soumagne is added to the surveillance.

2. Introduction

In mid-September 2020 started the SARS-CoV-2 national wastewater surveillance project. The present report is an outcome of this project aiming to assess weekly the wastewater-based epidemiological situation of Belgium.

The surveillance is based on the analysis of water samples collected twice per week from the influent of 42 WasteWater Treatment Plants (WWTPs) spread over Belgium. The evolution of the SARS-CoV-2 viral concentrations measured over time in the different treatment plants is analyzed at different levels: regional, provincial, and the catchment area covered by the individual treatment plants. Also, alerting indicators were developed to highlight areas of concern regarding the high circulation, the fast evolution, and the increasing trend of the observed viral concentrations.

In this report, the weekly wastewater-based epidemiological situation is presented and discussed at the above-mentioned levels based on the three categories of alerting indicators. Moreover, the remaining sources of uncertainties are discussed together with their expected impacts on the wastewater results interpretation.

3. Methodology

3.1. SAMPLE COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS

The surveillance project, which started in mid-September 2020 covers around 45% of the Belgian population. The population covered is 40% in the Flemish region, 35% in the Walloon region, and nearly 100% in the Brussels region. Figure 1 shows the catchment areas covered by the 42 WWTPs included in the project, which are located in high population density areas. A catchment area is defined by the area delimiting the population covered by a specific wastewater sample, collected at the inlet of the WWTPs. Further coverage details can be found in Table 1 by province (see also Tables A4, A5 and A6) and on the [Sciensano public dashboard](#).

In practice, 24-hour composite samples are collected twice a week on Mondays and Wednesdays from the influent of WWTP and are analysed for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA by three different laboratories. The resulting SARS-CoV-2 concentrations (3 targeted genes) are delivered to Sciensano within 2 days for data analysis purpose. Concretely, the results which are representative of Mondays and Wednesdays are respectively available on Wednesdays and Fridays.




Figure 1: The population located in the areas covered by the wastewater treatment plants (highlighted in yellow) and the population density for each municipality (indicated by the blue scale). Note that due to the recent flooding, the treatment plant of Wegnez is out of order. This area is, hence, not considered anymore in the wastewater surveillance and has been replaced by the treatment plant of Soumagne.

3.2. WASTEWATER RESULTS

The quantification of SARS-CoV-2 in RNA copies/ ml (concentration) was generalized in mid-February 2021 to all the treatment plants investigated. Before this date, the quantitative values were estimated based on the retrospective application of the quantification method (see details in Appendix) from mid-September 2020 to mid-February 2021 for Flanders and Brussels. In Wallonia, the quantitative results were available since the start.

Preliminary results allowed for estimating the limit of quantification of the analytical method at 20 copies/ml.

3.3. ALERTING INDICATORS

To highlight the areas of possible concerns, the three following types of alerting indicators are assessed twice a week, based on the viral concentration (RNA copies/ml) measured for the three targeted gene fragments (E, N1, and N2):

1. The **High circulation** indicator highlights the catchment areas where the viral concentrations are high. It corresponds to a situation where the viral concentrations exceed half of the highest value recorded since mid-February 2021.
2. The **Fast increase** indicator highlights the catchment areas where the viral concentrations have rapidly increased for the last week. It corresponds to a situation where the moving average on the past 7 days of the viral concentration has increased faster than 10% per day if being above the estimated limit of quantification. The increasing slope is normalized for each treatment plant.

3. The **Increasing trend** indicator highlights the catchment areas where the viral concentrations have been increasing for more than 6 days. It corresponds to a situation where the moving average on the past two weeks of the viral concentration has increased during more than 6 days.

3.4. CASES RESULTS

The cases number data presented in this report come from the COVID-19 laboratory-confirmed cases database centralized by Sciensano. The cases number, used to compute the 14 days-incidence, only accounts for the physical areas covered by the wastewater project (see Figure 1).

3.5. CAUTION POINTS FOR THE RESULTS INTERPRETATION

Only the trends, observed through the alerting indicators, should be assessed for the comparison of different areas. Absolute values should not be compared as the concentration values differ from an WWTP to another, notably due to the differences in population sizes covered. Additionally, the situation comparison between the regions should be considered with caution. The degree of comparability is not yet known and depends on the comparability of the results between the different laboratories performing the analysis (see [the Appendix Methodology document \(access online\)](#)).

Moreover, the wastewater concentrations and the cases numbers presented in this report do not originate from the same population, even though the positive cases are selected only for the municipalities covered by the wastewater surveillance. For instance, the wastewater results account for all infected persons (whatever age or symptomatic condition) while the cases include only the persons tested clinically positive. Likewise, an infected person covered by the wastewater results could be associated with another area in the clinical surveillance as the person's postal code is used for clinical statistics (mobility bias). Therefore, the correlation between the wastewater concentrations and the cases number varies according to the area considered. The wastewater results are thus complementary and independent information to the results of the cases.

The correlation between the wastewater viral concentrations and the cases numbers could also be influenced by the vaccination campaign and the circulation of variants.

Finally, all values below the limit of quantification (< 20 RNA copies/ml) should be considered as non-quantitatively reliable values. A reported value lower than 20 copies/ml only shows that SARS-CoV-2 has been detected in the sample at an undetermined concentration.

4. Results

4.1. REGIONS


Figure 2 presents the quantitative SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentration in the wastewaters and the 14 days incidence for each region, compiling the incidence data of the area covered by the wastewater surveillance. The estimated viral concentrations can also be seen for the period before mid-February (see 2.2. in [the Appendix Methodology document \(access online\)](#) for more details).

The second wave peak occurring in November 2020 can be seen in the three regions in Figure 2 below. This remains true for the third wave, but to a lower extent in Wallonia. Several hypotheses could explain this: (1) the sizes of the treatment plants in Wallonia are smaller than the ones located in the two other regions, affecting the viral concentrations measured; (2) the extent to which the results are comparable between the different laboratories is unknown (see [Methodology](#) for more details)

More recently, the viral concentration in the wastewater was increasing since the beginning of July in Wallonia, Brussels and Flanders, illustrating the “fourth” wave (Figure 2). This increase was much more

pronounced in Wallonia and Brussels than in Flanders, if we compare it to the highest level of circulation in wastewater since the 3rd wave. In Brussels and Flanders, a peak of viral concentration was recorded at the end of August, while at the beginning of September for Wallonia.

The last results indicate that the viral concentrations in the three regions are increasing. In Flanders, the viral circulation is at its highest level observed since the end of the 3rd wave. It should be noted that the last viral concentrations in wastewaters are not displayed in the figure as the regional corresponding trends are still to be validated with the next week results².



² The trends of SARS-CoV-2 circulation in wastewater, given by the dark line on the graphs, corresponds to a 14 days centred mean of the concentrations measured.

Figure 2: The SARS-CoV-2 RNA estimated and quantified concentrations expressed as copies/ml (two weeks centered moving average applied on the linear interpolation), the estimated limit of quantification of 20 SARS-CoV-2 RNA copies/ ml, and the 14 days incidence in the population covered by the wastewater surveillance since the surveillance starting date (graph set above) and mid-February 2021 (graph set below).

4.2. PROVINCES

Table 1 shows, for each Province, the results associated with the samples of Wednesday October 20th 2021, for the three alerting indicators:

- Last week on Wednesday October 13th 2021, 8 provinces had at least one alerting indicator positive: Antwerpen, Brussels, Hainaut, Limburg, Luxembourg, Namur, Vlaams-Brabant, and West-Vlaanderen.
- This week on Wednesday October 20th 2021, 10 provinces had at least one alerting indicator positive: Antwerpen, Brabant Wallon, Brussels, Hainaut, Liège, Limburg, Luxembourg, Namur, Vlaams-Brabant, and West-Vlaanderen. The provinces of Brabant Wallon, Liege, Limburg, and Luxembourg are of particular concern as respectively 2 indicators are fulfilled in these provinces.
- It should be noted that although the 50% threshold of viral circulation is not exceeded (in comparison of the highest level observed since the 3rd wave), the viral concentrations in the provinces of Liège and Limburg are still high (respectively 49% and 47%).

Table 1: Alerting indicators fulfilled (1) or not (0) on October 20th 2021 and the percentage of population covered by the provinces. Columns represent the population coverage of the WWTP within the Province (Pop. coverage), the High Circulation indicator (High), the Fast increase indicator (Fast) and the Increasing trend indicator (Incr.). The specifications of the four last columns are explained in the footnotes 1-4 below the table. Missing data is indicated with a “/”.

Province	Pop. coverage	High	Fast	Incr.	Norm. viral cc. (%) ¹	Mean viral. cc. (c./ml) ²	Norm. evol. (%/day) ³	Incr. days ⁴
Antwerpen	39%	0	0	1	9	90	5	8
Brabant Wallon	33%	0	1	1	28	38	30	10
Brussels	100%	0	0	1	12	992	-11	11
Hainaut	29%	0	0	1	24	52	3	10
Liège	43%	0	1	1	49	183	15	9
Limburg	26%	0	1	1	47	95	40	11
Luxembourg	10%	0	1	1	26	50	11	16
Namur	23%	0	0	1	19	54	-12	9
Oost-Vlaanderen	38%	0	0	0	11	203	5	6
Vlaams-Brabant	49%	0	0	1	16	480	4	12
West-Vlaanderen	52%	0	0	1	8	436	8	12

¹ : the viral concentration normalized with the maximum viral concentration measured in the corresponding catchment area since mid-February 2021.

² : the viral concentration computed on the replicate of the three targeted gene fragments.

³ : the slope (%/day) of the past 7 days moving average of the viral concentration if being above the estimated limit of quantification.

⁴ : the cumulative number of days of increase of the past 14 days moving average of the viral concentration

4.3. CATCHMENT AREAS

Table 2 shows, for each catchment area, the values of the three alerting indicators obtained based on the results of last Wednesday's sample. The number of treatment plants with at least one alerting indicator positive is 36 (out of 41 areas covered). Last week (results of October 13th 2021), 25 areas had at least one alerting indicator positive.

- The indicator "High circulation" is fulfilled in 4 covered areas: Hasselt (95%), Amay (64%), Houthalen-Centrum (52%), and Liège Oupeye (51%) (see Appendix A1 for more details). In several other areas, the maximal viral concentration recorded since the 3rd wave was observed after the 3rd wave: Genk (on 06/09/2021), Froyennes (on 08/09/2021), Amay (on 08/09/2021), Soumagne (on 08/09/2021), Liège-Sclessin (on 20/09/2021), Vallée du Hain (on 20/09/2021), Namur-Brumagne (on 18/10/2021), Liège Oupeye (on 18/10/2021) and Harelbeke (on 18/10/2021).
- The indicator "Fast increase" is fulfilled in 16 covered areas. Amongst these covered areas, an increase of more than 50% per day of the normalized viral concentration is observed for 3 areas: Hasselt (108% increase per day), Houthalen-Centrum (87% increase per day), and Basse Wavre (Dyle) (54% increase per day) (see Appendix A2 for more details).
- The indicator "Increasing trend" is fulfilled in 35 covered areas. Amongst these areas, the viral concentration is continually increasing since at least two weeks in 16 of the covered areas: Marche-en-Famenne (21 days), Genk (16 days), Aalst (14 days), Antwerpen-South (14 days), Arlon (14 days), Brugge (14 days), Brussels-North (14 days), Destelbergen (14 days), Grimbergen (14 days), Harelbeke (14 days), Houthalen-Centrum (14 days), Leuven (14 days), Liedekerke (14 days), Menen (14 days), Vallée du Hain (L'Orchis) (14 days), and Wasmuel (14 days) (see Appendix A3 for more details).
- Attention point for the covered areas of Amay, Hasselt, Houthalen-Centrum, and Liège Oupeye, where all three indicators are fulfilled.

The wastewater results can be accessed online for each area on the [COVID-19 dashboard](#).

Table 2 is a snapshot of the number of areas highlighted by the indicators for the last results obtained, which correspond to the 24h-representative samples of last Wednesday October 20th 2021.

Table 2: Alerting indicators fulfilled (1) or not (0) on October 20th 2021 for the catchment areas covered by the wastewater treatment plants. Columns represent the population coverage of the WWTP within the Province (Pop. coverage), the High Circulation indicator(High), the Fast increase indicator (Fast) and the Increasing trend indicator (Incr.). The specifications of the four last columns are explained in the footnotes 1-4 below the table.

Province	WWTP	High	Fast	Incr.	Norm. viral cc (%) ¹	Mean viral cc (c./ml) ²	Norm evol. (%/d) ³	Incr days ⁴
Oost-Vlaanderen	Aalst	0	1	1	37	753	45	14
Antwerpen	Aartselaar	0	1	1	7	41	28	7
Liège	Amay	1	1	1	64	97	41	7
Antwerpen	Antwerpen-North	0	0	1	31	98	9	9
Antwerpen	Antwerpen-South	0	0	1	6	127	3	14
Luxembourg	Arlon	0	0	1	22	42	7	14
Brabant Wallon	Basse Wavre (Dyle)	0	1	1	32	44	54	7
Vlaams-Brabant	Beersel	0	1	0	7	434	19	0
West-Vlaanderen	Brugge	0	0	1	8	79	7	14

Province	WWTP	High	Fast	Incr.	Norm. viral cc (%) ¹	Mean viral cc (c./ml) ²	Norm evol. (%/d) ³	Incr days ⁴
Brussels	Brussels-North	0	0	1	14	1117	-10	14
Oost-Vlaanderen	Dendermonde	0	0	1	1	2	0	7
Oost-Vlaanderen	Destelbergen	0	0	1	2	104	-7	14
Limburg	Genk	0	0	1	18	71	-6	16
Vlaams-Brabant	Grimbergen	0	0	1	26	675	2	14
West-Vlaanderen	Harelbeke	0	0	1	16	1035	2	14
Limburg	Hasselt	1	1	1	95	138	108	7
Limburg	Houthalen-Centrum	1	1	1	52	110	87	14
Vlaams-Brabant	Leuven	0	0	1	11	347	-8	14
Vlaams-Brabant	Liedekerke	0	0	1	16	464	8	14
Liège	Liège Oupeye	1	1	1	51	152	12	9
Liège	Liège Sclessin	0	1	1	45	277	13	9
Luxembourg	Marche-en-Famenne	0	1	1	35	69	19	21
Hainaut	Marchienne-au-Pont	0	1	1	25	55	13	9
Antwerpen	Mechelen-Noord	0	1	1	14	50	42	7
West-Vlaanderen	Menen	0	1	1	6	1360	33	14
Hainaut	Montignies-sur-Sambre	0	1	1	38	98	11	7
Namur	Mornimont	0	0	1	16	26	3	9
Hainaut	Mouscron versant Espierres	0	1	1	17	32	11	9
Namur	Namur-Brumagne	0	0	1	20	66	-18	9
West-Vlaanderen	Oostende	0	0	1	8	201	5	7
West-Vlaanderen	Roeselare	0	0	1	0	42	0	9
Oost-Vlaanderen	Sint-Niklaas	0	1	1	10	107	22	9
Limburg	Tessenderlo	0	0	1	15	58	-18	7
Antwerpen	Turnhout	0	0	1	7	29	-36	7
Brabant Wallon	Vallée du Hain (L'Orchis)	0	0	1	23	28	-3	14
Hainaut	Wasmuel	0	0	1	17	27	-7	14

¹ : the viral concentration normalized with the maximum viral concentration measured in the corresponding catchment area since mid-February 2021.

² : the viral concentration computed on the replicate of the three targeted gene fragments.

³ : the slope (%/day) of the past 7 days moving average of the viral concentration if being above the estimated limit of quantification.

⁴ : the cumulative number of days of increase of the past 14 days moving average of the viral concentration.

Figure 3 was developed to offer a dynamic view of the three indicators over time.

The number of treatment plants belonging to the “Increasing trend” indicator rose quickly at the beginning of July 2021 and stabilized at the same alert level.

The last results evidence an alerting situation as the number of covered areas for which the indicators “Increasing trend” and “Fast increase” quickly increased last week. This situation is similar to the one observed in wastewaters during the peak of the 3rd wave.




Figure 3: The number of areas (amongst the 41 covered by the wastewater surveillance this week and the 42 normally considered), with positive alerting indicators (latest results on October 20th 2021).

5. Appendix – Areas classified by alerting indicator

A 1: Covered areas (4 out of 41 on October 20th 2021) characterized as “High circulation” sorted in the descending order of importance.

Province	WWTP	High	Fast	Incr.	Norm. viral cc (%) ¹	Mean viral cc (c./ml) ₂	Norm evol. (%/d) ³	Incr days ⁴
Limburg	Hasselt	1	1	1	95	138	108	7
Liège	Amay	1	1	1	64	97	41	7
Limburg	Houthalen-Centrum	1	1	1	52	110	87	14
Liège	Liège Oupeye	1	1	1	51	152	12	9

¹ : the viral concentration normalized with the maximum viral concentration measured in the corresponding catchment area since mid-February 2021.

² : the viral concentration computed on the replicate of the three targeted gene fragments.

³ : the slope (%/day) of the past 7 days moving average of the viral concentration if being above the estimated limit of quantification.

⁴ : the cumulative number of days of increase of the past 14 days moving average of the viral concentration.

⁵ : date at which the measured viral concentration was the highest since the beginning of the third wave.

A 2: Covered areas (16 out of 41 on the October 20th 2021) characterized as “Fast increase” sorted in the descending order of importance.

Province	WWTP	High	Fast	Incr.	Norm. viral cc (%) ¹	Mean viral cc (c./ml) ₂	Norm evol. (%/d) ³	Incr days ⁴
Limburg	Hasselt	1	1	1	95	138	108	7
Limburg	Houthalen-Centrum	1	1	1	52	110	87	14
Brabant Wallon	Basse Wavre (Dyle)	0	1	1	32	44	54	7
Oost-Vlaanderen	Aalst	0	1	1	37	753	45	14
Antwerpen	Mechelen-Noord	0	1	1	14	50	42	7
Liège	Amay	1	1	1	64	97	41	7
West-Vlaanderen	Menen	0	1	1	6	1360	33	14
Antwerpen	Aartselaar	0	1	1	7	41	28	7
Oost-Vlaanderen	Sint-Niklaas	0	1	1	10	107	22	9
Vlaams-Brabant	Beersel	0	1	0	7	434	19	0
Luxembourg	Marche-en-Famenne	0	1	1	35	69	19	21
Liège	Liège Sclessin	0	1	1	45	277	13	9
Hainaut	Marchienne-au-Pont	0	1	1	25	55	13	9

Province	WWTP	High	Fast	Incr.	Norm. viral cc (%) ¹	Mean viral cc (c./ml) ₂	Norm. evol. (%/d) ³	Incr days ⁴
Liège	Liège Oupeye	1	1	1	51	152	12	9
Hainaut	Montignies-sur-Sambre	0	1	1	38	98	11	7
Hainaut	Mouscron versant Espierres	0	1	1	17	32	11	9

¹ : the viral concentration normalized with the maximum viral concentration measured in the corresponding catchment area since mid-February 2021.

² : the viral concentration computed on the replicate of the three targeted gene fragments.

³ : the slope (%/day) of the past 7 days moving average of the viral concentration if being above the estimated limit of quantification.

⁴ : the cumulative number of days of increase of the past 14 days moving average of the viral concentration.

⁵ : date at which the measured viral concentration was the highest since the beginning of the third wave.

A 3: Covered areas (35 out of 41 on the October 20th 2021) characterized as “Increasing trend” sorted in the descending order of importance.

Province	WWTP	High	Fast	Incr.	Norm. viral cc (%) ¹	Mean viral cc (c./ml) ₂	Norm. evol. (%/d) ³	Incr days ⁴
Luxembourg	Marche-en-Famenne	0	1	1	35	69	19	21
Limburg	Genk	0	0	1	18	71	-6	16
Oost-Vlaanderen	Aalst	0	1	1	37	753	45	14
Antwerpen	Antwerpen-South	0	0	1	6	127	3	14
Luxembourg	Arlon	0	0	1	22	42	7	14
West-Vlaanderen	Brugge	0	0	1	8	79	7	14
Brussels	Brussels-North	0	0	1	14	1117	-10	14
Oost-Vlaanderen	Destelbergen	0	0	1	2	104	-7	14
Vlaams-Brabant	Grimbergen	0	0	1	26	675	2	14
West-Vlaanderen	Harelbeke	0	0	1	16	1035	2	14
Limburg	Houthalen-Centrum	1	1	1	52	110	87	14
Vlaams-Brabant	Leuven	0	0	1	11	347	-8	14
Vlaams-Brabant	Liedekerke	0	0	1	16	464	8	14
West-Vlaanderen	Menen	0	1	1	6	1360	33	14
Brabant Wallon	Vallée du Hain (L'Orchis)	0	0	1	23	28	-3	14
Hainaut	Wasmuel	0	0	1	17	27	-7	14
Antwerpen	Antwerpen-North	0	0	1	31	98	9	9
Liège	Liège Oupeye	1	1	1	51	152	12	9

Province	WWTP	High	Fast	Incr.	Norm . viral cc (%) ¹	Mean viral cc (c./ml) ₂	Norm evol. (%/d) ³	Incr days ⁴
Liège	Liège Sclessin	0	1	1	45	277	13	9
Hainaut	Marchienne-au-Pont	0	1	1	25	55	13	9
Namur	Mornimont	0	0	1	16	26	3	9
Hainaut	Mouscron versant Espierres	0	1	1	17	32	11	9
Namur	Namur-Brumagne	0	0	1	20	66	-18	9
West-Vlaanderen	Roeselare	0	0	1	0	42	0	9
Oost-Vlaanderen	Sint-Niklaas	0	1	1	10	107	22	9
Antwerpen	Aartselaar	0	1	1	7	41	28	7
Liège	Amay	1	1	1	64	97	41	7
Brabant Wallon	Basse Wavre (Dyle)	0	1	1	32	44	54	7
Oost-Vlaanderen	Dendermonde	0	0	1	1	2	0	7
Limburg	Hasselt	1	1	1	95	138	108	7
Antwerpen	Mechelen-Noord	0	1	1	14	50	42	7
Hainaut	Montignies-sur-Sambre	0	1	1	38	98	11	7
West-Vlaanderen	Oostende	0	0	1	8	201	5	7
Limburg	Tessenderlo	0	0	1	15	58	-18	7
Antwerpen	Turnhout	0	0	1	7	29	-36	7

¹ : the viral concentration normalized with the maximum viral concentration measured in the corresponding catchment area since mid-February 2021.

² : the viral concentration computed on the replicate of the three targeted gene fragments.

³ : the slope (%/day) of the past 7 days moving average of the viral concentration if being above the estimated limit of quantification.

⁴ : the cumulative number of days of increase of the past 14 days moving average of the viral concentration.

⁵ : date at which the measured viral concentration was the highest since the beginning of the third wave.

A 4: Covered areas (5 out of 41 on the October 20th 2021) in which no alerting indicator are fulfilled.

Province	WWTP	High	Fast	Incr.	Norm . viral cc (%) ¹	Mean viral cc (c./ml) ₂	Norm evol. (%/d) ³	Incr days ⁴
Brussels	Brussel-South	0	0	0	5	574	-17	0
Antwerpen	Deurne	0	0	0	2	100	-13	2
Hainaut	Froyennes	0	0	0	5	9	0	0
Oost-Vlaanderen	Gent	0	0	0	6	92	-11	0
Liège	Soumagne	0	0	0	1	6	-16	0

- ¹ : the viral concentration normalized with the maximum viral concentration measured in the corresponding catchment area since mid-February 2021.
- ² : the viral concentration computed on the replicate of the three targeted gene fragments.
- ³ : the slope (%/day) of the past 7 days moving average of the viral concentration if being above the estimated limit of quantification.
- ⁴ : the cumulative number of days of increase of the past 14 days moving average of the viral concentration.
- ⁵ : date at which the measured viral concentration was the highest since the beginning of the third wave.