

UPDATE RECOMMENDATIONS WITH REGARDS TO TESTING, ISOLATION AND QUARANTINE

RAG 12/08/2021 - validé en RMG 15/08/2021

Attention : décisions prises (avec changements par rapport à l'avis des experts comme présenté ici) en CODECO 20/08/2021 et en CIM 25/08/2021

Recommandations :

- Maintenir les mesures générales (dépistage, isolement/quarantaine, recherche des contacts) pour garder la circulation du virus à un faible niveau et réduire le risque de transmission aux populations vulnérables.
- Les priorités en matière de testing restent les personnes symptomatiques, les contacts à haut risque, les voyageurs de retour et l'investigation de clusters.
- Faciliter davantage l'accès au testing, par exemple en augmentant l'utilisation d'échantillons salivaires (mis à jour de l'avis par le RAG testing).
- Pour les personnes entièrement vaccinées : maintien des procédures/mesures existantes excepté :
 - Introduction d'un deuxième test le 7ème jour pour le HRC ;
 - Recommandation de l'utilisation d'un autotest pour les voyageurs de retour d'une zone rouge s'ils estiment avoir eu un comportement à risque ;
 - Introduction de deux tests pour les voyageurs de retour d'un pays VOC au sein de l'UE/Schengen.
- Pour les personnes non ou incomplètement vaccinées : maintenir les procédures/mesures existantes sauf :
 - Moins de restrictions pour les contacts à faible risque ;
 - Le dépistage répété n'est pas utile en phase d'alerte 1 ;
 - Etendre le dépistage aux voyageurs de retour d'une zone rouge de l'UE/Schengen, en suivant les mêmes mesures que pour les zones rouges des pays tiers (deux tests et quarantaine).

CONTEXT

Belgium is successfully rolling out the COVID-19 vaccination program. At the beginning of August 2021, 59.3% of the Belgian population had received complete vaccination (73.4% among >=18 years old) and 69.8% had received partial vaccination (83.5% among >=18 years old). The high vaccination coverage has an important impact on the COVID-19 transmission dynamics and on how the epidemic is evolving. Therefore, questions are raised if the current measures with regards to testing, isolation and quarantine need to be adapted.

The current procedures with regards to testing, isolation and quarantine in different contexts are summarized in the table in Annex 1.

The recommendations in this advice consider the coming months, in a context of further virus circulation with still unclear impact on hospitalizations. If the epidemiological situation remains stable and under control (alarm level 1 in all provinces) for at least one month or depending on the evolution of the circulation of other respiratory viruses in autumn, a new update will be made.

This advice is complementary to an advice of the GEMS on maintaining (or not) other non-pharmaceutical measures, such as mask wearing.

ELEMENTS OF DISCUSSION

- Scientific evidence shows a similar vaccine effectiveness (VE) of full vaccination with Pfizer
 or AstraZeneca against <u>hospitalisation</u>, and a slightly lower protection against <u>symptomatic
 disease</u> caused by the Delta variant, which has become the predominant variant in Belgium
 (see Literature section below). The difference after only one dose is, however, larger,
 highlighting the importance of full vaccination.
- Evidence on vaccine effectiveness against <u>infection</u> with the Delta variant is still scarce. However, one study found a substantial lesser protective effect, even after complete vaccination. The lesser protection of vaccination against infections by the Delta variant is also shown by several studies investigating post-vaccination breakthrough infections.
- A high level of virus circulation can still lead to outbreaks among vulnerable people, as has been recently the case also in Belgium, with some outbreaks in nursing homes with a high lethality, despite high vaccination coverage. Also, even if the risk of severe disease is strongly reduced by vaccination, if the number of infected persons is very high, this can still lead to a high number of new hospitalizations. In addition, a high number of symptomatic cases with mild disease will put a high pressure on the first line health care. Therefore, virus circulation should remain under control.
- Guidelines in other countries indicate that some countries (like the US and France), where testing of fully vaccinated HRC was not recommended, reintroduced or expanded testing in response to the Delta variant.
- In the absence of other non-pharmaceutical measures (NPIs) such as mask wearing and keeping distance, the number of other respiratory infections will also be on the rise again (as opposed to the previous autumn and winter). Testing every person with respiratory symptoms might become very difficult in this context. Keeping certain NPIs is therefore essential the coming months. And alternative sampling and testing methods (such as multiplex testing) should be investigated.
- Studies investigating post-vaccination breakthrough infections found that the viral load in Delta infections in fully vaccinated people was similar to that in non-vaccinated people. Also, identification of the variant involved doesn't seem to be necessary/feasible for all breakthrough infections (of note: an advice of the RAG testing has been requested on this topic). Therefore, rapid Ag testing for symptomatic vaccinated patients could be used as an alternative to PCR testing in the first days of symptoms, and self-tests can also be used in vaccinated people.
- One publication (from Singapore) reports that viral loads decreased faster in vaccinated individuals, indicating that the isolation period for vaccinated people could possibly be

shortened. There is however not enough evidence on the duration of infectivity of infected vaccinated patients to allow to apply this at this moment. The literature on this topic will be followed up closely, and if there is more evidence, the duration of isolation for vaccinated people will be discussed again.

- Since the end of June, fully vaccinated high-risk contacts are exempt of quarantine if they have a negative test result for a test performed as soon as possible after the (last) high risk exposure. This was a political decision, whereas the RAG advised to perform two tests. The purpose of the two tests is however different: the first test is to identify if the HRC was actually the source of infection for the case and see if further contact tracing is needed, and the second test is to exclude that the HRC became infected by the case. Just limiting the testing to a test as soon as possible will not prevent all possible further transmission by the vaccinated HRC, who can still become infected despite vaccination, and might remain asymptomatic. This is particularly relevant in the context of the lower vaccination effectiveness against infection for the Delta variant than for other variants, and that viral load and duration of shedding is higher in breakthrough cases by the Delta variant (see above). In this context, the decision on testing of vaccinated HRC needs to be reconsidered. Hereby, a distinction could be made between household contacts or other contacts with repeated exposure (at least 2 in the past week), and single/one-time contacts (eg people met for a meal in a restaurant a specific day, of whom someone is positive the following 1 or 2 days). For the latter, the first test is not useful, because the HRC cannot have been the source of infection. A single test at day 5 would be more useful in this situation. In practice however, different rules depending on the type of exposure might be very difficult to implement at the level of the call centers, and also confusing for the population.
- Quarantine in between the two tests for vaccinated HRC is not recommended, despite the low risk that fully vaccinated HRC get infected, especially with the dominance of the Delta variant, because measures need to be balanced.
- For non-vaccinated low-risk contacts, the current recommendation is that social contacts should be limited to a minimum, with respect of a distance of 1,5m. This implies that people can continue necessary activities, such as school and work, but not other social activities. In a context where a higher proportion of the population is vaccinated, more contacts can be accepted for the LRC, except with people at risk for severe disease. Extra attention for hygienic measures should be continued, as well as testing in case of symptoms.
- Returning travelers: similar to the summer of 2020, data from returning travelers this summer indicate importation of virus into the country. The PR in returning travelers that are tested (single test at arrival) is about 2-3 times higher than the PR of travelers at departure. In addition, data from the PLF for the first year after implementation, report an ~ equally high PR for a second test (that was performed one week after arrival) than for the first test (2.4% versus 2.8% respectively). This is not unexpected, since people might still be in the incubation period when the first test is performed, and thus become infectious after their return. Taking into account a total number of about 200,000 returning travelers from a red zone during one week (data for the first week of August), of which about 25% fulfill the criteria for testing, this would mean 1,200 missed infections, possibly leading to further transmission. Therefore, measures

for non-vaccinated returning travelers should be reinforced and no distinction should be made between red zones within the EU/Schengen and red third countries.

- Test results among incoming travelers during the past 2 weeks show that the positivity rate among vaccinated travelers is 2.8%, vs. 3.2% among non-vaccinated travelers. It is important to note that comparison is risky because only vaccinated travelers coming from non-EU/Schengen/white list countries red zones are tested, while the non-vaccinated comprise mostly people coming from red zones in EU/Schengen/white list countries. No information is available on the positivity rate among vaccinated people coming from EU/Schengen/white list countries. Despite this observation, it doesn't seem to be necessary to test all vaccinated travelers returning from a EU/Schengen/white list red zone, because the risk of infection during travel, although not zero, is lower than for non-vaccinated. Instead, the threshold for testing could be lowered in this group. If people think they might have had a risk, testing should be easily available. Easy travel has been promoted as an advantage of vaccination, so it might be contra productive to now ask fully vaccinated travelers within Europe to get tested.
- It is reminded that the RAG advice of 25 May on measures for vaccinated individuals recommended that the exception for quarantine for vaccinated travelers did not apply for subjects returning from an area of intense circulation of VOC/VOI with possible immune escape.
- Repetitive screening of asymptomatic staff and residents is not recommended in nursing homes with high vaccination coverage (see table in Annex) and there is no reason to change this at this stage, despite the occurrence of some outbreaks recently. However, the threshold for testing in case of symptoms should be kept very low, in order to rapidly detect a possible introduction of the virus and allow further contact tracing/screening.
- One-time screening for vaccinated persons is also not recommended, except for the current categories (see table).

RECOMMENDATIONS

Despite the overall high vaccination coverage, continued measures are still needed to
maintain virus circulation at a low level and reduce risk of transmission to vulnerable
populations, at least until the vaccination campaign is completed and that the impact of it on
hospitalizations in the upcoming flu season will be more clear. These measures include early
identification of cases by testing people with symptoms, isolation of infected people, contact

tracing with testing and possibly quarantine of HRC and limiting the introduction of virus/variants by travelers.

- The priorities for testing remain testing of symptomatic people, high-risk contacts, returning travelers and cluster investigation, and tests for these categories specifically should remain free of charge and easily accessible.
- Overall, access to testing should be made more easy, for example through more use of saliva sampling. The RAG testing could be requested to give an advice on alternative sampling and testing methods to be explored.
- The recommendations are the same for all the alarm levels, except for repetitive screening of asymptomatic persons.

Current testing and quarantine procedures for fully vaccinated people

- No change of procedures for isolation and quarantine.
- Revise the testing procedure for fully vaccinated HRCs: the RAG strongly recommends to apply the same procedure for testing as for non-fully vaccinated HRC, with a second test on day 7, since both tests are performed for a different reason (see above). No quarantine should be observed between the two tests. The second test is especially important in case of continued or repetitive exposure, such as in households. If distinction between repeated/multiple and single exposure is feasible at the level of the call centers, only one test at day 5 could be considered for the latter.
- No change for fully vaccinated HRCs/travelers from red zones. However, the use of a selftest could be recommended if people are worried about a possible exposure during the travel or had risk behavior. An assessment tool to help people evaluate the risk could be useful, but not as a mandatory questionnaire (as it was the case for the SAT). In addition, returning travelers coming from a VOC country within the EU/Schengen should be tested twice.
- Self-tests can also be used by fully vaccinated people, for the same indications as for non-vaccinated (see <u>RAG advice</u>), bearing in mind the limitations of these tests (lower sensibility).
- Maintain current guideline for repetitive and one-time screening.

Current testing and quarantine procedures for non-or partially-vaccinated people

- Maintain all the current measures, except for:
 - LRC, for which there is no restriction any more of activities for two weeks, except for contact with persons at risk of severe disease (such as grandparents for a child LRC);
 - repetitive screening: not recommended in alarm level 1 (national level).
- Increase testing of non-vaccinated travelers without recovery certificate arriving/returning from a red zone and apply the same procedure for travelers coming from a red zone in an EU/Schengen/white list country as for travelers coming from another red country, i.e. two tests and quarantine.

The following persons participated to this advice:

Caroline Boulouffe (AViQ), Emmanuel Bottieau (ITG), Eddie De Block (Cohezio), Lize Cuypers (UZ-Leuven), Steven De Keukeleire (Microbiologist), Achille Djiena (AViQ), Nicolas Franco (UNamur), Michèle Gérard (CHU St Pierre), Naima Hammami (Zorg en Gezondheid), Yves Lafort (Sciensano), Valeska Laisnez (Sciensano), Tinne Lernout (Sciensano), Romain Mahieu (COCOM), Pierrette Melin (CHU Liège), Geert Molenberghs (UHasselt-KULeuven), Paul Pardon (FOD Volksgezondheid), Patrick Smits (Zorg en Gezondheid), Anne Tilmanne (HUDERF), Ann Van den Bruel (KU Leuven), Koen Vanden Driessche (UZA), Erika Vlieghe (UZA), Dirk Wildemeersch (Zorg en Gezondheid).

INTERNATIONAL RECOMMENDATIONS

<u>CDC</u>

In its <u>Interim Public Health Recommendations for Fully Vaccinated People</u>, CDC added a recommendation for fully vaccinated people who have come into close contact with someone with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 to be tested 3-5 days after exposure, and to wear a mask in public indoor settings for 14 days or until they receive a negative test result.

Fully vaccinated domestic travelers do not need to get a test before or after travel, or selfquarantine after travel, but fully vaccinated air travelers coming to the United States from abroad, including U.S. citizens, are still required to have a negative test result or documentation of recovery from COVID-19 before they board a flight to the United States. International travelers arriving in the United States are recommended to get a test 3-5 days after travel regardless of vaccination status, but do not need to self-quarantine.

France

Also France has slightly adopted its recommendations for fully vaccinated people in response to the Delta variant. The <u>High Counsel for Public Health</u> recommends to test fully vaccinated people with a proven risk contact with a COVID-19 case at day 0 and day 7. Quarantine is not necessary, but if there are repeated contacts in a household settings adherence to barrier measures is recommended.

The High Counsel also states that self-surveillance with self-tests is not recommended for fully vaccinated people.

United Kingdom

<u>Public Health England</u> informs that from 16 August fully vaccinated contacts do no longer have to self-isolate. They are, however, still advised to have a PCR test as soon as possible, just as non-vaccinated contacts.

British Academy of Medical Sciences

The British Academy of Medical Sciences recently published a rapid review into '<u>COVID-19</u>: <u>Preparing for the future</u>'. The document focusses on the winter 2021/2022 and makes recommendations for the transition towards lower levels of virus circulation.

The report stresses the importance of continued access to **fast and accurate testing of people with suspicious symptoms** and **self-isolation** of confirmed cases. It recommends to consider how to incorporate multiplex testing with the expected increase in other respiratory infections in autumn and winter.

Routine asymptomatic testing should be considered where either the rate of susceptible individuals becoming infected or the potential for poor outcomes is particularly high (e.g. health and social care settings). Wider routine asymptomatic testing may not be either cost-effective, or worth the testing fatigue that may be induced where low prevalence rates lead to more false than true positive results. The academy advises to first pilot and evaluate the 'nove' use of rapid Ag tests (e.g. testing to access travel or sports events) to demonstrate their utility for

either diagnosis or prevention, including understanding how it will affect wider test, trace and isolate compliance.

Surprisingly, the Academy has doubts about the usefulness of **onward contact tracing as currently performed in the UK.** It considers it as **unlikely to substantially reduce transmission**, and finds that **locally led outbreak investigations and surge testing** in outbreak areas **are likely to be more efficient** ways to control the epidemic – especially if case numbers are low. They see more benefit in providing the infected person and members of their household with clear advice on minimizing transmission risk to other household members by physical distancing within or outside the home. **Backward contact tracing** (i.e. identifying who infected symptomatic cases), on the other hand, is considered as a potentially powerful tool, in particular because a minority of individuals cause the majority of onward infections. It is likely to be most effective in the context of sporadic outbreaks rather than generalized epidemics. They recommend that future efforts be focused on evaluating and considering further use of tracing apps and backwards tracing.

They further recommend that **requirements for contacts to self-isolate may also be relaxed** once high levels of immunity are achieved through vaccination or natural infection, to significantly reduce societal impacts. Waste water surveillance is considered a useful tool to identify where outbreaks are occurring and rapidly manage them to limit onward transmission.

LITERATURE BACKGROUND

Vaccine effectiveness against and breakthrough infections by the Delta variant

Lopez-Bernal et al. used a test-negative case–control design to estimate VE (Pfizer and AstraZeneca) against <u>symptomatic disease</u> caused by the Delta variant compared to the Alpha variant. After 2 doses there were modest reductions in VE against the Delta variant as compared to the Alpha variant (88% vs. 94% for Pfizer; 67% vs. 75% for AstraZeneca; 80% vs. 88% for either vaccine). Absolute differences were bigger after only 1 dose (36% vs. 48% for Pfizer; 30% vs. 49% for AstraZeneca; 31% vs. 49% for either vaccine) (1). The same study group estimated VE against <u>hospitalization</u> and found no difference between Alpha and Delta infections. After 2 doses of either vaccine, VE for Alpha was 92% vs. 94% for Delta, and after one dose 78% vs. 75%. For the Pfizer vaccine VE after 2 doses was 95% and 96% for Alpha and Delta, respectively, and for 2 doses AstraZeneca 86% and 92%, respectively (2).

A Canadian pre-print shows similar results. In another test-negative design study, protection of 2 doses Pfizer against <u>symptomatic infection</u> was similar to that of the Alpha variant (89% and 87% for the Alpha and Delta variant, respectively). Protection of 1 dose was however lower than that for the Alpha variant (66% and 56% for the Alpha and Delta variant, respectively). Protection against hospitalization or death was similar to that of the Alpha variant (80% and 78% for the Alpha and Delta variant, respectively) (3).

In a correspondence in the Lancet, Sheikh reported the results of yet a nother test-negative casecontrol study looking at VE against <u>infection</u> 14 days after the second dose, using S gene positivity as a proxy for the Delta variant and S gene negativity as a proxy for the Alpha variant. The VE of the Pfizer vaccine was estimated at 92% for S gene-negative and 79% for those S gene-positive infections. AstraZeneca showed a VE of 73% and 60% respectively (4). VE, by either vaccine, against <u>hospitalization</u>, 28 days <u>after the first vaccine dose</u>, was also lower in S gene positive infections than in S gene negative (62% vs. 72%), but the level of precision was too low (p=0.19) to conclude that it represents a real difference.

A study in Houston, Texas, found that Delta variants caused a significantly higher rate of vaccine breakthrough cases (17.4% compared to 5.8% for all other variants). Individuals with vaccine breakthrough cases caused by Delta variants had a lowCt value that was not significantly different than the Ct value observed in unvaccinated patients with COVID-19 caused by Delta variants (5). Also in an outbreak investigation in Barnstable County, Massachusetts, Ct values among Delta infections were similar among specimens from patients who were fully vaccinated and those who were not (6), and also a study in Wisconsin came to the same conclusions (7). A study in Singapore observed the same among hospitalized patients (8), but found that viral loads decreased faster in vaccinated individuals. In its update on what is known about the Delta variant, CDC concludes that fully vaccinated people with Delta variant breakthrough infections can spread the virus to others, but that vaccinated people appear to be infectio us for a shorter period (9). However, CDC still advises that fully vaccinated people remain in isolation for a period of 10 days (10).

A study using data from India also found lower Ct values in Delta breakthrough cases in health care workers (mean Ct 16.5), fully vaccinated with the Covishield vaccine, compared to non-Delta breakthrough cases (mean Ct 19) and a larger cluster size with Delta breakthrough (11).

References

- Lopez Bernal J, Andrews N, Gower C, Gallagher E, Simmons R, Thelwall S, et al. Effectiveness of Covid-19 Vaccines against the B.1.617.2 (Delta) Variant. N Engl J Med. 2021 Jul 21;
- Public library PHE national Knowledge Hub [Internet]. [cited 2021 Jun 17]. Available from: https://khub.net/web/phe-national/public-library/-/document_library/v2WsRK3ZlEig/view_file/479607329?_com_liferay_document_library_we b_portlet_DLPortlet_INSTANCE_v2WsRK3ZlEig_redirect=https%3A%2F%2Fkhub.net%3A 443%2Fweb%2Fphe-national%2Fpublic-library%2F-%2Fdocument_library%2Fv2WsRK3ZlEig%2Fview%2F479607266
- Nasreen S, He S, Chung H, Brown KA, Gubbay JB, Buchan SA, et al. Effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines against variants of concern, Canada. medRxiv. 2021 Jul 3;2021.06.28.21259420.
- Sheikh A, McMenamin J, Taylor B, Robertson C. SARS-CoV-2 Delta VOC in Scotland: demographics, risk of hospital admission, and vaccine effectiveness. The Lancet [Internet]. 2021 Jun 14 [cited 2021 Jun 17];0(0). Available from: https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(21)01358-1/abstract
- 5. Musser JM, Christensen PA, Olsen RJ, Long SW, Subedi S, Davis JJ, et al. Delta variants of SARS-CoV-2 cause significantly increased vaccine breakthrough COVID-19 cases in Houston, Texas. medRxiv. 2021 Aug 1;2021.07.19.21260808.

- Brown CM. Outbreak of SARS-CoV-2 Infections, Including COVID-19 Vaccine Breakthrough Infections, Associated with Large Public Gatherings — Barnstable County, Massachusetts, July 2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Aug 9];70. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/70/wr/mm7031e2.htm
- Riemersma KK, Grogan BE, Kita-Yarbro A, Halfmann P, Kocharian A, Florek KR, et al. Shedding of Infectious SARS-CoV-2 Despite Vaccination when the Delta Variant is Prevalent - Wisconsin, July 2021 [Internet]. 2021 Aug [cited 2021 Aug 12] p. 2021.07.31.21261387. Available from: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.07.31.21261387v3
- 8. Chia PY, Ong SWX, Chiew CJ, Ang LW, Chavatte J-M, Mak T-M, et al. Virological and serological kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant vaccine-breakthrough infections: a multi-center cohort study. medRxiv. 2021 Jul 31;2021.07.28.21261295.
- CDC. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) [Internet]. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2020 [cited 2021 Aug 13]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/variants/delta-variant.html
- CDC, CDC. COVID-19 Vaccination [Internet]. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2021 [cited 2021 Aug 6]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019ncov/vaccines/fully-vaccinated-guidance.html
- 11. Mlcochova P, Kemp S, Dhar MS, Papa G, Meng B, Mishra S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617.2 Delta variant emergence and vaccine breakthrough. bioRxiv. 2021 Jun 28;2021.05.08.443253.

Procedure		Non-fully vaccinated	Fully vaccinated
Testing			
Symptomatic patients	•	Testing with PCR or rapid Ag test	Testing with PCR
case definition (>=6 years old)		(if <= 5 days symptoms)	
Risk contacts (>=6 ye	,		
- High-risk contacts	S	PCR as soon as possible after	PCR as soon as
	i	identification and second test on	possible after
		day 7	identification, no
			second test
		No test if <180 days after p	revious infection
- Low-risk contacts	;	Only if sufficient PCR capacity	No testing
- Low-risk contacts	during	If deemed useful by hea	Ith inspector
cluster investigati	ion		
Arriving/ returning tra	vellers (>=12	years old)	
- From non-red		No testing	
EU/Schengen/wh	ite list		
countries			
- From red		PCR test <72h before or as soon	No testing*
EU/Schengen/wh	ite list	as possible after arrival (if Belgian	
countries		resident)	
- From other red co	ountries	PCR test <72h before or soon as	PCR as soon as
		possible as possible after arrival (if	possible after arrival*
		Belgian resident) and second test	
		on day 7	
- From EU/Schenge	en VOC	PCR test <72h before or as soon	No testing*
countries		as possible after arrival (if Belgian	
		resident) and second test on day 7	
- From other VOC	countries	PCR test <72h before or as soon as	
		Belgian resident) and seco	
Repetitive screenings	s (weekly with	PCR or bi-weekly with rapid Ag test Only if low vaccination coverage)
- Nursing home sta			No testing
		(residents <90% or staff<70%)	
- School staff and s	students	See advice <u>covid-19 management</u>	No testing
		<u>in the educational system</u>	
- Other workplaces		Optional	No testing
One-time screenings			
- Pre-hospital admi	ission	According <u>hospital guidelines</u>	Only when very high
			risk (e.g. pre-
			transplant)
- New residents nu	rsing	Systematic testing	Systematic testing
homes			

Procedure	Non-fully vaccinated	Fully vaccinated	
- Nursing home visitors	Optional and only when vaccination coverage residents <90%	No testing	
- Pre-event screening	For events with >=1500 participants (PCR<48h or rapid Ag test<24h)	No testing*	
- Self-testing	Optional and only when no other test indication applies	No testing	
- Other one-time screenings	Optional and only when high risk of transmission	No testing	
Isolation			
Confirmed ambulatory COVID- 19 cases	Isolation until 10 days after onset of symptoms/positive test result and 3 days without fever		
Confirmed hospitalized/ nursing home resident COVID-19 cases	Isolation until 14 days after onset of symptoms and 3 days without fever		
Confirmed COVID-19 cases in ICU	Isolation until 21 days after onset of symptoms and 3 days without fever and clinical improvement OR 14d after onset of symptoms and PCR 2x <10 ⁵ copies/mL with minimum 24h interval		
Confirmed immunocompromised COVID-19 cases	Isolation until 21 days after onset of symptoms and 3 days without fever and clinical improvement		
Quarantine		ont	
High-risk contacts	7 days if day 7 test negative; 10 days if no day 7 test No quarantine if <180 days after	Till negative test result received	
Low-risk contacts	No quarantine; reinforcement of protective measures	No additional measures	
Arriving/ returning travellers (>=12	2 years old)		
 From non-red EU/Schengen/white list countries 	No quarantine		
 From red EU/Schengen/white list countries 	No quarantine if negative PCR result <72h before arrival OR quarantine until negative result received after arrival (Belgian resident)	No quarantine*	
- From other red countries	7 days if day 7 test negative; 10 days if no day 7 test	Till negative test result received*	
 From EU/Schengen VOC countries 	7 days if day 7 test negative; 10 days if no day 7 test	No quarantine*	
- From other VOC countries	10 days, even if day 7 t	est negative	

*Also applies to people with a recovery certificate